STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE/PUC DEC30'15 PM 4:25

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

December 3, 2015 - 1:19 p.m. Concord, New Hampshire



RE: DE 15-303

VIVINT SOLAR, INC.: Petition for

Declaratory Ruling Regarding RSA 362:2,

362-A2-a, and Rule PUC 2002.05

(Hearing on the Merits)

PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding

Commissioner Robert R. Scott Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey

Sandy Deno, Clerk

APPEARANCES:

Reptg. Vivint Solar, Inc. : (via videoconference)

Todd G. Glass, Esq. (Wilson, Sonsini...)
Grace Hsu, Esq. (Wilson, Sonsini...)
Garner Meads, Esq. (Vivint Sr. Corp. Counsel)
Dan Black, Esq. (Assoc. Gen. Counsel)

Reptg. The Alliance For Solar Choice: (via videoconference)

Jason B. Keyes, Esq. (Keyes, Fox & Wiedman)

Reptg. Freedom Energy Logistics (FEL): (via teleconference)

James T. Rodier, Esq.

Reptg. PUC Staff:

David K. Wiesner, Esq.
Amanda O. Noonan, Dir. Consumer Affairs Div.
Liz Nixon, Sustainable Energy Division

COURT REPORTER: SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR NO. 44

			2
			2
1	INDEX		
2		PAGE	
3	OPENING STATEMENTS BY:		
4	MR. GLASS	8	
5	MR. KEYES	14	
6	MR. RODIER	15	
7	MR. WIESNER	16	
8			
9	INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONERS:		
10	CMSR. SCOTT	19	
11	CMSR. BAILEY	23	
12	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG	36	
13	CMSR. SCOTT	40	
14	CMSR. BAILEY	41	
15			
16	CLOSING STATEMENT BY:		
17	MR. GLASS	43	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We are here this afternoon in docket -- let's back up. Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Now we're back on the record.

Good afternoon. We're here this afternoon in Docket DE 15-303, which is Vivint Solar, Inc.'s Petition for Declaratory Ruling. We have intervenors. We have folks who are joining us via the wonders of modern technology, which we hope will function well today. I have been told to ask people to identify themselves when they're going to speak so that everybody knows who's speaking, and to speak slowly and be patient, because there is some lag between or among picture and sound, or perhaps a delay in the way the sound is transmitting. But I think we'll all figure that out as go along.

So, before we go any further, let's take appearances. I'm not sure where to start. Normally I would start to my left, but

there's nobody's sitting to my left. So how do you want to do it? Somebody start speaking, and we'll go from there. Don't all jump at once.

CMSR. BAILEY: How about the representatives from Vivint?

MR. GLASS: Todd Glass, from Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati, along with my colleague, Grace Hsu. And from Vivint Solar, I'll hand it over to you, Dan and Garner, to introduce yourselves to the people who can see you on the screen.

MR. MEADS: This is Garner Meads with Vivint Solar. And to my right -- or as it appears on your screen, to my left would be Dan Black.

MR. KEYES: This is Jason Keyes, with Keyes, Fox & Wiedman, representing The Alliance for Solar Choice.

MR. RODIER: And this is Jim Rodier, representing Freedom Energy Logistics.

MR. WIESNER: And Attorney
Rodier does not have a video connection, but
he's on audio.

1	And I'm Dave Wiesner,
2	representing Commission Staff. And with me at
3	the head table here and I'll just turn my
4	laptop, and hopefully this works are Amanda
5	Noonan of the Consumer Affairs Division, and
6	Liz Nixon of the Sustainable Energy Division.
7	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We could
8	have had a picture of Mr. Rodier posted
9	somewhere. We all know what he looks like, but
10	folks on the West Coast don't.
11	MR. WIESNER: Oh, they met him.
12	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: They met
13	him. Ah, well, then they've had the pleasure.
14	Mr. Wiesner, how are we going to
15	proceed this afternoon?
16	MR. WIESNER: Mr. Chairman, we
17	did have filed yesterday a Motion for
18	Confidential Treatment filed by Vivint Solar
19	with respect to materials that Vivint provided
20	in response to Staff discovery questions. I'm
21	not aware that there's any objection from any
22	other party with respect to that motion. I
23	would also note that, because a Stipulation of
24	Facts has been filed in this case signed by

all parties, that there's very little
likelihood that any of the discovery materials
would be offered in evidence at this hearing.
And so my suggestion would be to not ask the
Commission to rule on that motion until the
order on the merits is issued.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're good

you.

at not doing things. I think we'll be happy not to rule. If something has to be dealt with during the hearing, we'll deal with it then.

MR. WIESNER: And I think it's fair to say that I'm not aware of any objection from any of the parties. And not to foreclose any rights they might have to file something in writing, but if there is any issue with that, I would ask people to chime in at this point.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Glass?

MR. GLASS: We filed a motion,

and we support the motion and appreciate your

consideration.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Keyes?

MR. KEYES: No objection. Thank

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Rodier?

MR. RODIER: Well, Mr. Chairman,
I have asked not to even be included on the
list for confidential materials. So I haven't
seen those. I do not want to see them. So,
obviously, I have no opposition.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. All right. Well, thank you. We'll then deal with it presumably as part of the order at the end of this process.

What else, Mr. Wiesner?

MR. WIESNER: I guess I would just propose, Mr. Chairman, that we permit parties to make a brief statement of their positions and then open the floor for questions from the Commissioners, either on factual matters that are covered in the stipulation or on the legal positions that parties have taken through the filing of their briefs. And there was a brief filed by the Petitioner, reply briefs filed by The Alliance for Solar Choice and by Commission Staff, and Freedom did not file a brief on its own.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Does that process make sense to the others who are here?

1	Mr. Glass?
2	MR. GLASS: Yes, thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Keyes?
4	MR. KEYES: Yes, that's fine.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Rodier?
7	MR. RODIER: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
9	Then that's what we'll do. Typically, we would
10	have the Petitioner go first and then have a
11	chance to go last as well. I see no reason to
12	depart from that. So, Mr. Glass, you have the
13	floor.
14	MR. GLASS: Thank you,
15	Commission Chairman Honigberg, as well as
16	Commissioners Scott and Bailey. Thank you for
17	the opportunity to appear, and thank you for
18	accommodating both the schedule, which was an
19	accelerated schedule, and using the technology
20	to allow for our appearance on the Internet
21	today. We'd also like to thank Mr. Wiesner and
22	Commission Staff for their thoughtful
23	investigation and technical discussions over
24	the last as well as discussions of the legal

matters before us. We find that it's been a very useful process, and we hope that we've been able to explain our business and what we intend to do -- well, what Vivint Solar intends to do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We're here before you today on a Petition for Declaratory Ruling. I will be brief because our petition and the brief that we submitted, and hopefully the stipulation, all basically support that which we've been seeking from the beginning. We believe that the petition is properly before the Commission, that there's definite and concrete factual This is not a hypothetical allegations. Vivint Solar desires to come into the matter. state and provide its services in the manner described in the stipulation and in our filing. We believe that this affects the legal rights of Vivint Solar, and a declaratory ruling is a proper means for us to seek clarification from the Commission as to whether or not it would be regulated, and how so. And finally, I think that this is properly before the Commission as the entity that has the authority under the

statutes and the regulations to decide this.

I would note, also, as noted in the brief, that New Hampshire was one of the first states to allow retail access in the constitution and through various cases and statutes. It's a state that recognizes the competition and customer choice matter. And we pointed that out in our brief because we do think that New Hampshire is a leader in this, and we would like the Commission to remember that rule that they've been leading for now more than 15, nearly 20 years.

The three substantive points that we would like a declaratory ruling on are: One, that Vivint Solar and its subsidiaries and affiliates desire to offer solar power purchase agreements and solar leases in the state, should not be deemed and should not be regulated as a public utility. As noted in the brief, we cannot provide service --

(Court Reporter interrupts.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Glass, slow down. We've lost a little bit of what you said. If you could slow down just a hair, that

would help, and if you could go back a couple of sentences.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. GLASS: Sorry. Talking too fast is not generally one of my problems, but I'm happy to slow down and be a little more deliberate.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're just going to blame the technology, as everybody else will.

MR. GLASS: On the first Sure. matter in our Petition for Declaratory Ruling, we are seeking clarification from the Commission that Vivint Solar, its subsidiaries and affiliates, in the provision of solar power purchase agreements and solar leases, will not be deemed to be a public utility and will not be regulated by the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission as a public utility. key legal things that we point to in our brief is that Vivint Solar cannot provide service to the undifferentiated public without discrimination. Rather, we are providing our solar, behind-the-meter residential services to people who own homes, who have certain credit

quality and have other physical and other qualifications that give rise to the opportunity to bring solar to their rooftops.

believe that, and are seeking a declaratory ruling that Vivint Solar, its affiliates and subsidiaries, would not be regulated as a competitive energy provider, electric provider of service, CEPS, in that, while we find that the definition of a "CEPS" under New Hampshire law is broad, in that it looks to somebody providing retail service, electric service in the state, the entire regulatory regime that has been erected is something that is just opposite of what the residential solar provider such as Vivint can do and do provide. And our brief points out the various points on that.

And then, finally, we seek a declaratory ruling that Vivint Solar, its subsidiaries and affiliates, are not a limited producer of electric energy under that statute and regulatory regime. There are a number of provisions of that law in the regulation that point to a fundamentally different business

model, one in which there is power produced and then transferred over the wires of a distribution utility to customers. This is not that. And we believe that not only in our brief, but in the stipulation and in the facts that you would find.

I would also point out that, in conclusion, both Commission Staff's brief and TASC's brief have come to the same conclusion, in some cases slightly different views of the law, but ultimately coming to the same conclusion that these three legal rulings are timely and properly before the Commission and pointing towards the result that we seek. Thank you. And we're available not only for any legal questions, but having representatives from Vivint Solar on the line will allow you to ask whatever factual questions you may have about the business and what Vivint Solar intends to do. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
Scott. You're going to wait until everybody's
done? All right. We're going to wait until
everybody's done and then circle back for

questions.

Next, Mr. Keyes.

MR. KEYES: Thank you. TASC supports Vivint completely on all the points that Todd just raised. Let me just go through three things that we also had in our brief, in our reply brief.

whether Vivint or any third-party owner is a utility, five different states have used the "Serv-Yu" factors. That's a 1950 case from the Arizona Supreme Court. It was most recently used by the Iowa Supreme Court. It goes through eight different factors to analyze whether an entity is a utility or not. And in all five cases, they've come out with a result that they are not a utility. So we thought that would be useful to cite.

Second, in our reply brief, we listed all the regulators that already regulate third-party owners. So there's plenty of regulation available if there's a bad actor in our sector. We try very hard to provide great customer service and be self-regulating. And

so that was also a section in our reply brief, to the extent of which third-party owners have banded together to develop standards of excellence for service to customers. So I don't think that, even if we're not a utility and not a CEPS and not an LPEE, there's no imminent need for regulation, in light of the regulation that's already there and self-regulation that's already there. So that's it for me. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you.

Mr. Rodier.

MR. RODIER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Very briefly, I do want to say that the people involved here have been very forthcoming. No hidden agendas. You know, very forthright, very patient in explaining. The quality of their paperwork is excellent.

As far as the merits are concerned, this is a really path-breaking issue for New Hampshire, having somebody behind the meter that is not going to be -- isn't darkened by the shadow of a utility claiming that they are -- they should be a utility and they're

infringing on the existing utility franchise and all those things. And I do know well from my own experience, it wasn't that long ago those were, you know, very prominent issues in New Hampshire.

So, in closing, I will say this is a very path-breaking issue for the Commission that I hope will set the stage for further progress. And in closing, I just want to say I compliment the team that Vivint has put together and their willingness to work collegially. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr.

Wiesner.

MR. WIESNER: On behalf of Staff, I would also like to thank the parties for their cooperation in putting together a Stipulation of Facts which I think covers the factual issues which need to be addressed in this case and brought to the attention of the Commission. And in particular, TASC also -- the TASC members who represent, as I understand it, some of the other large national players in the residential solar marketplace were willing

to sign on to that stipulation and indicate that, in most material respects, their contracts, their business practices are substantially similar to those of Vivint. And I think that's helpful for the Commission to know, and we on Staff found it helpful. filed a brief, which is essentially supportive of the conclusions of Vivint on the legal issues, that they should not be regulated as a public utility, as a limited producer of electrical energy under the LEEPA statute, or as a competitive electric power supplier under the PUC's 2000 rules. And I'll just note that the definition of a "CEPS" is very broad. And it might be read in isolation to sweep in business entities such as Vivint and the TASC members. But when you read the rules as a whole, and the intent and the scope of what is being regulated by those rules, it seems clear that they are not designed for that type of business model and not appropriate for these type of business practices. And I think we reached, then, the similar conclusion that Vivint had reached, that they just don't fit;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and therefore, the definition, however broad, should be read in that context, not to apply to them, and therefore they should not be covered by the Commission's regulation of competitive suppliers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We did note, finally, that the restructuring law, R.S.A. 374-F:7 appears to be broad enough. And in particular, the definition of "electricity supplier" under 374-F is broad enough to cover the types of business practices that Vivint and the residential solar developers are engaged in. But the current 2000 rules do not seem to be an appropriate vehicle to reach those business practices. So that's, you know, arguably not directly relevant to the petition before you, but we thought that it was worth raising that and making it clear that our view is that the Commission actually has the statutory authority to regulate these types of business practices, but in fact has not done so. And I'll just say, as I did in the brief, that Staff at this point is not requesting that there be any such rule-making commenced, whereby the Commission

would seek to regulate behind-the-meter
residential solar development. I think that's
all I have.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you,
Mr. Wiesner.

What I'm going to do now is ask the Commissioners if they have questions and then circle back to you, Mr. Glass, for the last word after the Q&A. And if anybody else wants to say something, you'll have a chance.

Commissioner Scott?

CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. And for the remote parties, thank you for coming in on this.

I want to start with Vivint, but
I want to give an opportunity for any of the
parties. I just want to understand in my
mind -- I'll start first with Vivint. But is
there a size limit to -- is there a
component -- let me start with Vivint. I'm
sorry. I'll restate.

So, for Vivint, do you have a size limit on what your business model is at the homes? I know one of your filings talked

about up to 2.5 kilowatts. Do you go beyond that, typically?

MR. GLASS: Garner and Dan, as a factual matter, I'll hand it over to you.

But I will say, as a preliminary point, that Vivint attempts to build the amount of solar generation on the rooftop to match or be slightly less than the customer's energy usage. In other words, they go in. Obviously there's a natural limit with the size of the roof and its orientation and whatnot. But generally, Vivint Solar builds a solar system to take care of most of the energy needs of the residential customer behind the meter.

Dan or Garner, did I get that roughly right?

MR. BLACK: This is Dan Black.
That's correct, Todd. We size our residential solar systems so that they are sized to primarily the customer's usage needs, between 90 to 100 percent. But there are a number of physical factors that make sizing of a system, such as roofs, shade, other obstructions, that prevent going that large. But practically

speaking, usage is tied to what they historically need.

CMSR. SCOTT: So I ask that question. So in a hypothetical, let's say your customer wanted twice the capacity that they would realistically use at their own residence. Would this business -- would the rulings you're asking us to make, would they still apply? Would the regulatory scheme still apply, in your opinion?

MR. BLACK: Yes. Go ahead, Todd. Sorry.

MR. GLASS: Well, I would say that I don't think that the rules and the declaratory ruling that we've stated would change in that situation. But in reality, Vivint Solar and its business model would not support a 2X oversizing of the system. The financial -- or actually, the revenue stream that the customer's PPA or solar lease produces is a stream on which Vivint Solar seeks third-party financing. As Dan was mentioning before, the underwriting for the financing requirements relative to that will not allow

for a 2X oversizing.

Dan, anything you care to add?

MR. BLACK: No, that's accurate.

CMSR. SCOTT: So maybe I'll ask Staff, and this will be a little bit easier since you're right here.

So is the real differential being the fact that we're talking behind the meter, no matter how much is generated? Is there a bright line here where these regulatory rulings would or would not take effect?

MR. WIESNER: I don't think we identified sort of a bright-line demarcation as to when it would be appropriate to recognize this non-regulatory treatment, if you will. We did -- we were, I think, pleased to see that Vivint would represent that it was not sizing these systems well above the customer's requirements. And that appears to be their business model, and I think that makes -- that relieves some questions that might otherwise be addressed about how these things are structured. And typically, I believe these customers will be taking advantage of net

```
metering where it's available. But I don't
1
         think it's the business model of the company,
2
         or really an option available, as I understand
3
         it, to the customers to oversize their systems
4
5
         to take advantage of group net metering, for
6
         example.
7
                         CMSR. SCOTT:
                                       Thank you.
                                                    That's
         all I have.
8
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
                                               Commissioner
9
         Bailey.
10
11
                         CMSR. BAILEY:
                                        Thank you.
                                                     Just
         a quick follow-up on that. Is there any size
12
         limitation as to what you can build or will
13
         build on a residential building?
14
15
                         MR. GLASS: Garner and Dan, I'll
16
         hand that one off to you.
17
                         MR. BLACK:
                                     So there are
         physical limitations based on roof size and
18
         electrical distribution system in the home,
19
20
         based on amperage, but --
21
                         CMSR. BAILEY:
                                        No, I understand
22
                 I'm asking you, if you have a mansion,
         that.
23
         can you build kind of any size solar system
         that will fit on the roof to go to five
24
```

E 15-303 [Hearing on the Merits] {12-03-15}

1	megawatts or whatever?
2	MR. BLACK: Yes, if their
3	historic usage supports a large system, in like
4	a mansion situation, we will size it to offset
5	their usage needs.
6	CMSR. BAILEY: But your business
7	is only residential?
8	MR. BLACK: We do have a small
9	commercial business unit as well.
10	CMSR. BAILEY: Could you build a
11	system that's bigger than five megawatts?
12	MR. BLACK: Could we?
13	CMSR. BAILEY: Yes.
14	MR. BLACK: The sizing on a
15	home on a rooftop solar system, I'm not
16	aware of a home that could support that. Our
17	largest systems that we see are in the
18	25-kilowatt to 50-kilowatt range in the
19	residential space.
20	CMSR. BAILEY: Well, in the
21	residential space. But I'm asking about, you
22	know, your small business space maybe grows to
23	a bigger business and gets a bigger rooftop.
24	MR. BLACK: Yes, in the

industrial, small commercial industrial space, you could have systems sized in the megawatt range.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay.

MR. KEYES: This is Jason Keyes.

Can I chime in there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CMSR. BAILEY: Yes.

MR. KEYES: So, a megawatt takes roughly, depending on the kind of panels you're using, it takes something like 6 acres. you're talking about a 250,000-square-foot building. So a megawatt is about as big as you'll ever see on a rooftop. And you asked about larger sizes. SolarCity and SunRun both do residential, like Vivint. A large system is 10 kilowatts. But there are the occasional mansions that have 20 kilowatts. I can't think of larger systems than that on residential. SunRun just does residential. SolarCity does commercial business as well, systems up to a megawatt in size. But again, it's all reliant on net metering, so never over-generating.

CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you.

That's helpful.

Okay. In the Stipulation of

Facts -- yes, in the Stipulation of Facts, it

says Vivint has the right to terminate the PPA

or lease if the customer fails to make payments

when due, but it won't place a lien on a

customer's real property. So what happens if

you terminate the PPA or the lease?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well, it's important MR. GLASS: to realize that, from a financing and legal standpoint, Vivint Solar, and I think all members of the residential solar community, consider the solar panels and system to be personal property and not real property. Therefore, we do not put any type of real-estate lien on the homeowner; but rather, we may file, for instance, a UCC-1 filing, which is a notice that the property, the personal property, that we own it. In the case where an entity -- or a homeowner stops paying, or otherwise there's a termination of the PPA, Vivint Solar would go out and remove the equipment.

CMSR. BAILEY: So there is no way you can take their house away from them to

be repaid.

MR. GLASS: No. Correct. This is the personal property versus real property distinction I think gives -- you know, separates that type of remedy that you might seek in a case where, for instance, something was actually part of the real property. Here, it's more contractual and that we would remove the property. And we might seek monetary damages from them for failure to pay under the long-term contract, but it's not something in which the owner of the solar property would somehow foreclose on the home itself.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.

I have some questions about the various definitions in the laws. So, in 374-F:2, which is the electric utility restructuring statute, it defines "electricity suppliers" as suppliers of electricity generation services that arrange for the supply of electricity generation to meet retail customer demand. Do you think that that definition is -- defines what you're doing?

MR. GLASS: I think that, as Mr.

Wiesner stated, and his brief makes clear, as well as ours, the definition as written is broad, in that arguably you could take a look at what Vivint Solar and affiliates are providing and arguably say, yes, you meet that definition. I think that when you take a broader look at the entire regulatory body that has been created, the intent of the legislation was not aimed at that. But I would say that it is broad enough to be read in that manner.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. And so,
Mr. Wiesner, your position is that this
definition would include this kind of provision
of energy service, but that the 2000 rules are
written for a different kind of electricity
supplier and would not apply to this kind of
electricity supplier.

MR. WIESNER: Essentially, the legislature has granted the Commission rule-making authority that could cover "electricity suppliers," as defined in the statute, and as Attorney Glass referenced, that that could be read to cover the type of business practices that Vivint and other

residential solar developers are engaged in. However, the current PUC 2000 rules which govern competitive electric power suppliers in the state seem clearly designed to cover a different type of business model where competitive suppliers are providing electric service to retail customers using the transmission and distribution system of the electric utilities and are usually billing through the electric utilities and have a different set of consumer protection concerns that are not covered clearly -- that are covered in those rules for those type of business practices and not for those that apply to behind-the-meter, rooftop, residential solar development, such as Vivint and the others are engaged in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.

In the LEEPA provisions at 362-A:1-a, there's a definition of "eligible customer generator," which means an electric utility customer who owns, operates or purchases power from an electrical generating facility, either powered by renewable energy

for purposes here, up to one megawatt located behind the meter.

So, would your residential customers then be eligible customer generators?

MR. GLASS: Arguably you could put a customer in a relationship with Vivint Solar into that relationship or into that definition. You could probably fit that in under the same, you know, type of thing that Mr. Wiesner just described.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. And again, in the LEEPA statute, the electricity suppliers are defined in 374-F, which is what we just talked about.

MR. WIESNER: If I can jump in?

Commissioner Bailey, the definition of

"eligible customer generator" really has

greatest applicability to net metering. And

the expectation, I believe, of residential

solar developers is that their customers will

participate in that metering. Because even if

the systems are sized so as not to exceed the

peak or average load of a particular homeowner,

there will be times when the system is

generating more power than the homeowner can consume, and there will be power exported into the utility grid. And in that situation, the eligible customer generator -- which in this case would be the residential homeowner with the system installed on the roof -- will take advantage of net-metering programs to receive credits from their utility.

CMSR. BAILEY: And they will only very often [sic] be drawing electricity from the grid because most of the time they'll be generating enough electricity to cover their needs.

MR. GLASS: In the absence of storage, when the sun's not shining, they'll be drawing from the grid.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. All right. Back to Mr. Glass.

The difference between the PPA and the lease, you, throughout your pleadings, talk about both, together, as if -- when I first started reading it, I thought the customer had to sign a lease and a PPA, and then I realized that it's one or the other.

And you clearly prefer, it seems, the PPA, but you only say that once. And I'm wondering whether there's a legal difference between a lease and a PPA. So, under -- what I'm thinking is, under a PPA, they would be buying electricity. Would they be buying electricity under the lease?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

No, and that's the MR. GLASS: legal, contractual difference. A power purchase agreement, a PPA, is the contract for the purchase of power. In that case, the customer is only paying for the energy that's produced, and the relationship is one of purchasing the energy. Under the solar lease, which is not a preferred means to do it, but rather, Vivint Solar would lease the equipment on the rooftop to the residential homeowner. In that case, the residential customer pays a lease payment for the right to, you know, receive the power, but it does not pay for the power itself; rather, by leasing the equipment, such as you would lease a car or lease something else, you gain the benefit of having that solar system on the rooftop, but you're

not actually purchasing the power itself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And to clarify, you're absolutely right. Vivint Solar -- and I believe you can ask Mr. Keyes -- prefers the PPA arrangement between the customer and the company. It is cleaner, clearer, more understandable and more straightforward from a variety of perspectives. So you are correct that that's a strong preference.

CMSR. BAILEY: Is it clearer to the customer? Is that why it's the preference?

MR. GLASS: Yes. Yes, the customer understands that if the solar system on the rooftop is producing power, they're going to buy that energy, and if it is not producing, they don't have to pay for the energy. That type of a relationship -- and they also understand that they're offsetting the energy by paying Vivint Solar for the energy. That is offsetting what they would otherwise be buying from their utility or other electric supplier. On the other hand, a lease is less clear as to what they're actually It's more of a complex financing buying.

arrangement in which they're leasing equipment.

And most customers prefer [sic] that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I might ask Dan and Garner -Mr. Black and Mr. Meads, sorry -- if they want
to add to it because obviously they're closer
to the front lines of what customers understand
and desire.

This is Dan MR. BLACK: Sure. The one thing I would add is that, in a Black. leasing context, it is more difficult for a consumer to understand, as Todd has -- as Mr. Glass pointed out. The lease is based on a fixed rental payment, regardless of how the solar system performs or how the sun shines. So, for the customer to save money or understand their offset with respect to their utility power, it isn't the same on a month-by-month basis in a lease context. in July, where the system is producing more power, they pay the same amount as the system would produce in December. And so what ends up happening is that the customer is not in real-time, or month-by-month, paying for what the system is producing. Now, we do try to

size the lease payments to coincide with the estimated or projected performance of the system, and we provide a performance guaranty in our leased products, so that at the end of an annual cycle, if the system has not performed as expected, we will refund the customer for the shortfall. So the customer will remain in similar economic footing as the PPA on a year-by-year basis, but that's not true, you know, over the course of during the months. So it is a much preferred business model, both for consumer understanding, but also economically to the consumer.

One other thing to note. It's also better for the companies here -- I think this is true not only for Vivint Solar, but others in the industry -- that lease financing rules are more cumbersome and have more federally mandated disclosures and requirements associated with leases that aren't exactly applicable to residential solar because they're driven out of auto leasing and other similar industries. So, for those reasons, and many others, PPAs are better for the consumer and

better for the industry. 1 2 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you. Is the reason that you have to 3 use leases, in the three states that you use 4 leases in, because their laws only permit you 5 to not be a regulated public utility if you're 6 7 leasing rather than selling energy? MR. GLASS: Correct. 8 MR. BLACK: That's correct. 9 We would offer a PPA in every market where that is 10 11 permitted. As we pointed out, leases are less 12 preferred. CMSR. BAILEY: 13 Okay. Thank you. I think that's all I have. 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 15 Mr. 16 Wiesner, I have a question for you. It might 17 just be speculation, or maybe you have some knowledge or information. 18 19 Picking up on something Mr. 20 Rodier said, I was interested that none of the 21 utilities came in here as intervenors or in 22 some other capacity. Perhaps they're just 23 watching through reading what's on the Internet

24

that's been filed. Do you have any thoughts on

that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think that may MR. WIESNER: have surprised us initially, but I'm not totally surprised. It's not been -- we've never been asked this question before. it's also never been the practice of the Commission to seek out behind-the-meter generation and look to apply the regulatory structure to that type of model. And, you know, the pace of development of residential rooftop solar has accelerated in the state just in the past year with some major national players coming in, as well as a burgeoning local industry. But there's been behind-the-meter generation, you know, inside-the-fence co-gen and other types of onsite generation for years. And my understanding is that the Commission has never sought to regulate those sorts of business models. And it's not clear that the regulatory framework, in a legal framework, would have even permitted that type of regulation. So, in effect, this is a case of

first impression, but only in the sense that

this is the first time anyone's ever clearly asked, that I'm aware of. And I gather that the utilities did not take enough interest to actively participate and take a position.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Glass and then Mr. Keyes. In other states, have the utilities been interested in or tried to take a role in the business that the companies have tried to engage in?

MR. GLASS: Definitely. I'll start and then hand off to Mr. Keyes.

I think that the easiest way to separate the states out is those states that have allowed retail access since the '90s, in those states, generally the utilities are more accommodative. And likely, utilities in the state of New Hampshire are not particularly interested in engaging in those because they've already gone through the process of allowing customer choice and dealing with the stranded costs and the like, as was done, you know, several years ago. In states in which you still have a vertically integrated monopoly type of system, in which the utility currently

serves that customer all service and whatnot, and also has a net metering, you see utilities that are taking a much more active role and having opinions about these types of things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But Mr. Keyes and TASC, I think, you know, are in some of those battles, so I'll let him speak to that.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Keyes.

MR. KEYES: I'd echo what Mr. Glass said. It hasn't been as contentious in deregulated states. In states where we have addressed third-party ownership in isolation, it hasn't been as contentious. Sometimes it's gone through legislation. Where it's gone through in rule-making, sometimes it's mixed in with other programs like net metering. So the utilities are already there for the net metering and asked about third-party ownership. They may say they would prefer to continue to serve all their customers' needs rather than having their customer use onsite solar, but generally we've won the argument. And there are 25 states that allow third-party ownership. So if I was a utility in New Hampshire, I would

E 15-303 [Hearing on the Merits] {12-03-15}

say that it just wasn't worth joining,
intervening in this docket, because it's likely
that it would recognize the right to
third-party ownership.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you. That was helpful.

Commissioner Scott.

CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. One more follow-up on the scoping issue. I just want to confirm for the record. So, most of filing talks about homeowners and uses phrases like "largely residential" or "mostly." I just want to confirm that the request for declaratory ruling isn't limited to residential installations. Am I correct in that?

MR. GLASS: Yes. The petition itself did not have a demarcation as to residential and commercial. And I will note that at the very first hearing and technical conference I was made aware that, given various historical things in New Hampshire, you have various customers that fall on various sides of the line, whether it be outbuildings or farms or things of that nature, where it's not

```
technically "the house." They might be
1
2
         separately metered. In that type of way, we do
         not want any type of a bright line and have not
3
         sought one.
4
5
                         CMSR. SCOTT:
                                       Thank you.
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
                                              Commissioner
6
7
         Bailey.
                                        I have one more
8
                         CMSR. BAILEY:
         area that I wanted to cover.
9
                         Do these systems -- will they
10
11
         produce RECs, and will the RECs be sold into
         the market?
12
                         MR. GLASS: Dan and Garner, I'll
13
14
         hand that over to you as to what you do with
15
         the RECs. You could probably --
16
                (Court Reporter interrupts.)
17
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
                                              Mr. Glass,
         would you repeat the last thing you just said
18
         that ended with "or more broadly."
19
20
                         MR. GLASS:
                                     Yes. I was inviting
         Mr. Black and Mr. Meads to answer the question
21
22
         posed either with regard to New Hampshire or
23
         more broadly, because in a number of states the
         RECs and whatnot are different due to the
24
```

incentives. So I was basically broadening the question out for them.

MR. MEADS: Yes. To answer your question, these systems do generate RECs. And under our agreements with our customer, we would retain the rights to those RECs, and we then go out to the market and sell them either on the spot or through Forward contracts.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.

Before we give Vivint the last word, is there
anything else any of the others feel we need to
know or hear?

MR. RODIER: Mr. Chairman, this is Jim Rodier. May I make one quick comment, if you don't mind?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Absolutely.

Go ahead, Mr. Rodier.

MR. RODIER: I think the one thing that differentiates New Hampshire is the Supreme Court's decision and appeal of Zimmerman, which made it very clear for the first time that you cannot -- if you sell to the undifferentiated public, you're a utility. If you have an affinity with the end user --

not sure how broadly that's defined -- then you 1 are not a public utility. And I think that 2 these folks here have done a very good job on 3 relying on that. Certainly the utilities are 4 aware that they now cannot say -- it's very 5 difficult to say to one customer, "We have a 6 7 special deal," selling to the undifferentiated If you have some kind of affinity with 8 public. the customer, whatever it may be, you are not a 9 public utility offering service to all-comers. 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 11 Thank you, Mr. Rodier. 12 Is there anyone else who has 13 14 anything they want to say? 15 MR. KEYES: No, thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 17 Mr. Glass, the floor is yours. MR. GLASS: Well, thank you, 18 Chairman and Commissioners. And thanks to all 19 20 the participants in this docket. 21 Vivint Solar's appreciated the 22 thoughtful consideration and discussions that 23 we've had. We will rest our arguments on our

24

brief and stipulations as filed. We appreciate

that New Hampshire has recognized the importance of competition and customer choice. Vivint Solar seeks to compete, and Mr. Keyes and his representatives, they also seek to compete, and provide the best services and the most innovative services to customers in the state of New Hampshire. We simply ask for the rulings here so that we have regulatory clarity as to how it would be regulated or -hopefully, that we would not be regulated. And we do not seek necessarily to create a new law as to how -- you know, invite some type of a rule-making. We're simply trying to get clarity so that we can enter and offer the services. And once again, we thank the Commission and Commission Staff for their time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you very much, Mr. Glass. Thank you all for your willingness to participate this way. I'm hopeful that this was a good thing for those who would have had to travel a long way to be here. We don't do it very often. When we do, it's always going to be a question about how it works. I want to thank all our staff here who

did such a good job to set this up. I'll put on the record that every five minutes or so the camera that was on the Bench would turn off and a commercial would come on, and it looked like a very fun birthday party that was going on. I'm sorry we're not there. But this was interesting as well. So I think we're done. We're ready to adjourn, and we'll take this matter under advisement. Thank you all. (WHEREUPON the hearing concluded at 2:10 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public
of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
certify that the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes of these proceedings taken at the
place and on the date hereinbefore set
forth, to the best of my skill and ability
under the conditions present at the time.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

19 ______ Fugar T Pobidag ICP/PDP

Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR
Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter
Registered Professional Reporter
N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)

	16:3;38:22	appropriate (3)	39:6	42:1
[agreement (1)	17:21;18:14;22:14	beginning (1)	broader (1)
L	32:10	area (1)	9:11	28:7
[sic] (2)	agreements (3)	41:9	behalf (1)	broadly (3)
31:10;34:2	10:17;11:15;42:5	arguably (4)	16:15	41:19,23;43:1
	Ah (1)	18:15;28:3,5;30:5	behind (4)	brought (1)
\mathbf{A}	5:13	argument (1)	15:21;20:14;22:8;	16:20
	— ahead (2)	39:22	30:2	build (5)
able (1)	21:11;42:17	arguments (1)	behind-the-meter (5)	20:6;23:13,14,23;
9:3	aimed (1)	43:23	11:23;19:1;29:15;	24:10
above (1)	28:9	Arizona (1)	37:7,15	building (2)
22:18	all-comers (1)	14:12	Bench (1)	23:14;25:12
absence (1)	43:10	arrange (1)	45:4	builds (1)
31:14	allegations (1) 9:14	27:20 arrangement (2)	benefit (1) 32:23	20:12 burgeoning (1)
absolutely (2)	Alliance (2)	33:5;34:1	best (1)	37:13
33:3;42:16	4:19;7:20	associated (1)	44:5	business (26)
accelerated (2)	allow (5)	35:20	better (3)	9:3;12:24;13:19;
8:19;37:11	8:20;10:4;13:17;	attempts (1)	35:15,24;36:1	17:3,16,21,22;18:11,
access (2)	21:24;39:23	20:6	beyond (1)	14,20;19:23;21:7,17;
10:4;38:14	allowed (1)	attention (1)	20:1	22:20;23:2;24:6,9,22,
accommodating (1) 8:18	38:14	16:20	big (1)	23;25:20;28:24;29:5,
accommodative (1)	allowing (1)	Attorney (2)	25:12	14;35:11;37:19;38:8
38:16	38:19	4:22;28:22	bigger (3)	buy (1)
accurate (1)	along (2)	audio (1)	24:11,23,23	33:15
22:3	3:21;4:8	4:24	billing (1)	buying (4)
acres (1)	always (1)	authority (3)	29:9	32:5,6;33:21,24
25:10	44:23	9:24;18:19;28:20	birthday (1)	
active (1)	Amanda (1)	auto (1)	45:5	C
39:3	5:4	35:22	bit (2)	(1)
actively (1)	among (1)	available (4)	10:23;22:5	came (1)
38:4	3:18 amount (2)	13:15;14:22;23:1,3	Black (16) 4:16;20:17,17;	36:21
actor (1)	20:6;34:20	average (1) 30:23	21:11;22:3;23:17;	camera (1) 45:3
14:22	amperage (1)	aware (6)	24:2,8,12,14,24;34:4,	can (10)
actually (5)	23:20	5:21;6:12;24:16;	8,9;36:9;41:21	4:12;12:16;23:13,
18:19;21:19;27:7; 33:1,23	analysis (1)	38:2;40:20;43:5	blame (1)	23;25:6;26:24;30:15;
33:1,23 add (3)	14:8	away (1)	11:8	31:1;33:4;44:14
22:2;34:5,9	analyze (1)	26:24	body (1)	capacity (2)
addressed (3)	14:14		28:7	21:5;36:22
16:19;22:22;39:12	annual (1)	В	both (5)	car (1)
adjourn (1)	35:5		8:18;13:8;25:14;	32:22
45:9	appeal (1)	back (6)	31:21;35:12	care (2)
advantage (3)	42:20	3:3,7;11:1;13:24;	brief (21)	20:13;22:2
22:24;23:5;31:7	appear (1)	19:8;31:18	7:13,19,22;9:8,8;	case (9)
advisement (1)	8:17	bad (1)	10:3,8,20;11:19;	5:24;14:11;16:20;
45:10	appearance (1) 8:20	14:22 DAILEY (25)	12:17;13:5,8,9;14:6,	26:18;27:6;31:5;
Affairs (1)		BAILEY (25)	7,19;15:1;17:7;	32:11,18;37:23
5:5	appearances (1) 3:23	4:5;8:16;23:10,11, 21;24:6,10,13,20;	18:22;28:1;43:24 briefly (1)	cases (3) 10:5;13:10;14:16
affects (1)	appears (3)	25:4,7,23;26:23;	15:14	CEPS (4)
9:18	4:15;18:7;22:19	27:14;28:11;29:18;	briefs (2)	12:9,10;15:6;17:14
affiliates (5)	applicability (1)	30:11,16;31:9,17;	7:18,20	certain (1)
10:16;11:14;12:6,	30:18	33:10;36:2,13;41:7,8	bright (2)	11:24
20;28:4 affinity (2)	applicable (1)	banded (1)	22:10;41:3	Certainly (1)
42:24;43:8	35:21	15:3	bright-line (1)	43:4
42.24,43.8 afternoon (4)	apply (6)	based (3)	22:13	CHAIRMAN (38)
3:3,8,9;5:15	18:2;21:8,9;28:16;	23:18,20;34:12	bring (1)	3:2,6;5:7,12,16;
again (3)	29:14;37:8	basically (2)	12:3	6:7,17,21,24;7:1,6,
25:21;30:11;44:15	appreciate (2)	9:10;42:1	broad (7)	12,23;8:3,6,8,15;
agendas (1)	6:19;43:24	basis (2)	12:11;17:14;18:1,	10:22;11:7;13:21;
15:16	appreciated (1)	34:18;35:9	8,10;28:3,10	15:11,13;16:13;19:4;
ago (2)	43:21	battles (1)	broadening (1)	23:9;36:15;38:5;
∂ · ∖ /				

	,,			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
39:8;40:5;41:6,17;	40:18;45:4	consume (1)	customers' (1)	8:12
42:9,13,16;43:11,16,	Commission (23)	31:2	39:20	depending (1)
19;44:17	5:2;6:5;7:21;8:15,	Consumer (6)	customer's (5)	25:9
chance (2)	22;9:12,21,23;10:10;	5:5;29:11;34:11;	20:8,20;21:20;	deregulated (1)
8:11;19:10	11:13,18;13:8,13;	35:12,13,24	22:18;26:6	39:11
change (1)	16:8,21;17:5;18:19,	contentious (2)	cycle (1)	described (2)
21:16	24;28:19;37:7,18;	39:10,13	35:5	9:17;30:10
chime (2)	44:16,16	context (3)		designed (2)
6:16;25:6	Commissioner (6)	18:2;34:10,18	D	17:20;29:4
Choice (5)	13:21;19:11;23:9;	continue (1)		desire (2)
4:19;7:20;10:7;	30:16;40:7;41:6	39:19	damages (1)	10:16;34:7
38:20;44:2	Commissioners (4)	contract (2)	27:10	desires (1)
circle (2)	7:15;8:16;19:7;	27:11;32:10	Dan (11)	9:15
13:24;19:8	43:19	contracts (2)	4:10,15;20:3,15,	develop (1)
cite (1)	Commission's (1)	17:3;42:8	17;21:22;22:2;23:15;	15:3
14:18	18:4	contractual (2)	34:3,8;41:13	developers (3)
claiming (1)	community (1)	27:8;32:9	darkened (1)	18:12;29:1;30:20
15:23	26:11	cooperation (1)	15:22	development (3)
clarification (2)	companies (2)	16:17	Dave (1)	19:2;29:16;37:10
9:20;11:12	35:15;38:8	costs (1)	5:1	difference (3)
clarify (1)	company (2)	38:21	DE (1)	31:19;32:3,9
33:2	23:2;33:6	couple (1)	3:9	different (8)
clarity (2)	compete (2)	11:1	deal (3)	12:24;13:10;14:10,
44:8,14	44:3,5	course (1)	6:10;7:7;43:7	14;28:15;29:5,11;
cleaner (1)	competition (2)	35:10	dealing (1)	41:24
33:6	10:7;44:2	Court (4)	38:20	differential (1)
clear (6)	competitive (5)	10:21;14:12,13;	dealt (1)	22:7
17:19;18:18;28:1;	12:8;17:12;18:4;	41:16	6:9	differentiates (1)
33:23;37:20;42:21	29:3,6	Court's (1)	December (1)	42:19
clearer (2)	completely (1)	42:20	34:21	difficult (2)
33:6,10	14:4	cover (6)	decide (1)	34:10;43:6
clearly (4)	complex (1)	18:10;28:20,23;	10:1	directly (1)
29:4,12;32:1;38:1	33:24	29:4;31:12;41:9	decision (1)	18:16
closer (1)	compliment (1)	covered (4)	42:20	disclosures (1)
34:5	16:10	7:16;18:3;29:12,13	Declaratory (9)	35:19
closing (2)	component (1)	covers (1)	3:10;9:7,19;10:14;	discovery (2)
16:6,9	19:20	16:18	11:11;12:5,19;21:15;	5:20;6:2
CMSR (27)	concerned (1)	create (1)	40:14	discrimination (1)
4:5;19:12;21:3;	15:20	44:11	deemed (2)	11:22
22:4;23:7,11,21;24:6,	concerns (1)	created (1)	10:18;11:16	Discussion (1)
10,13,20;25:4,7,23;	29:11	28:8	defined (3)	3:5
26:23;27:14;28:11;	concluded (1)	credit (1)	28:21;30:13;43:1	discussions (3)
29:18;30:11;31:9,17;	45:11	11:24	defines (2)	8:23,24;43:22
33:10;36:2,13;40:8;	conclusion (4)	credits (1)	27:18,23	distinction (1)
41:5,8	13:8,9,12;17:23	31:8	definite (1)	27:4
Coast (1)	conclusions (1)	cumbersome (1)	9:13	distribution (3)
5:10	17:8	35:18	Definitely (1)	13:3;23:19;29:8
co-gen (1)	concrete (1)	current (2)	38:10	Division (2)
37:16	9:13	18:13;29:2	definition (11)	5:5,6
coincide (1)	conference (1)	currently (1)	12:10;17:14;18:1,	docket (4)
35:1	40:20	38:24	9;27:23;28:2,6,13;	3:3,9;40:2;43:20
colleague (1)	Confidential (2)	customer (29)	29:20;30:8,16	done (6)
4:9	5:18;7:3	10:7;14:24;20:14;	definitions (1)	13:23,24;18:21;
collegially (1)	5:16;7:5 confirm (2)	21:5;26:4;27:22;	27:16	38:21;43:3;45:8
16:12	40:10,13	29:21,22;30:4,6,17;	delay (1)	38:21;43:3;43:8 down (3)
coming (3)	40:10,13 connection (1)	31:4,23;32:12,18;	3:19	10:23,24;11:5
13:11;19:13;37:13	4:23	33:5,11,13;34:15,22;		drawing (2)
			deliberate (1)	
commenced (1)	consider (1)	35:7,7;38:20;39:1, 21;42:5;43:6,9;44:2	11:6 demand (1)	31:10,16 driven (1)
18.24	26.12	/ 1 4 / 1 4 7 11 9 4 4 4 7	ucilialiu (1)	uliven (1)
18:24	26:12			35.22
comment (1)	consideration (2)	customers (11)	27:22	35:22 dua (2)
comment (1) 42:14	consideration (2) 6:20;43:22	customers (11) 13:3;15:4;22:24;	27:22 demarcation (2)	due (2)
comment (1)	consideration (2)	customers (11)	27:22	

	T			
6:10;35:10	9:24;14:15;26:19	27:10	forthright (1)	36:8;38:5,10;39:10;
	equipment (4)	fair (1)	15:16	40:16;41:13,17,20;
${f E}$	26:22;32:16,21;	6:12	Forward (1)	43:17,18;44:18
	34:1	fall (1)	42:8	goes (1)
easier (1)	erected (1)	40:22	found (1)	14:13
22:5	12:14	far (1)	17:6	Good (5)
easiest (1)	essentially (2)	15:19	Fox (1)	3:8;6:7;43:3;
38:12	17:7;28:18	farms (1)	4:18	44:20;45:1
echo (1)	estimated (1)	40:23	framework (2)	Goodrich (1)
39:9	35:2	fast (1)	37:21,21	4:8
economic (1)	even (4)	11:4	franchise (1)	govern (1)
35:8	7:2;15:5;30:21;	federally (1)	16:1	29:3
economically (1)	37:22	35:19	Freedom (2)	Grace (1)
35:13	everybody (2)	feel (1)	4:21;7:21	4:9
effect (2)	3:16;11:8	42:11	front (1)	granted (1)
22:11;37:23	everybody's (2)	figure (1)	34:6	28:19
		3:20	fun (1)	
eight (1)	13:22,24			great (1)
14:14	evidence (1)	file (3)	45:5	14:23
either (4)	6:3	6:14;7:22;26:16	function (1)	greatest (1)
7:15;29:24;41:22;	exactly (1)	filed (9)	3:13	30:18
42:7	35:20	5:17,18,24;6:18;	fundamentally (1)	grid (3)
electric (11)	example (1)	7:19,20;17:7;36:24;	12:24	31:3,11,16
12:8,12,21;17:12;	23:6	43:24	further (2)	group (1)
27:17;29:3,6,9,10,21;	exceed (1)	filing (4)	3:22;16:9	23:5
33:22	30:22	7:18;9:17;26:16;	~	grows (1)
electrical (3)	excellence (1)	40:11	G	24:22
17:11;23:19;29:23	15:4	filings (1)		guaranty (1)
electricity (12)	excellent (1)	19:24	gain (1)	35:3
18:9;27:18,19,21;	15:18	finally (3)	32:23	guess (1)
28:15,17,21;30:12;	existing (1)	9:22;12:18;18:6	Garner (7)	7:11
31:10,12;32:6,6	16:1	financial (1)	4:11,13;20:3,15;	
eligible (4)	expectation (1)	21:19	23:15;34:3;41:13	\mathbf{H}
29:20;30:4,17;31:4	30:19	financing (5)	gather (1)	
else (6)	expected (1)	21:22,23;26:9;	38:2	hair (1)
7:10;11:9;19:9;	35:6	33:24;35:17	generally (4)	10:24
32:23;42:11;43:13	experience (1)	find (3)	11:4;20:12;38:15;	Hampshire (13)
end (3)	16:3	9:1;12:9;13:6	39:22	10:3,9;11:17;
7:8;35:4;42:24	explain (1)	fine (1)	generate (1)	12:10;15:21;16:5;
ended (1)	9:3	8:4	42:4	38:17;39:24;40:21;
41:19	explaining (1)	first (10)	generated (1)	41:22;42:19;44:1,7
	15:17	8:10;10:4;11:10;	22:9	hand (6)
ends (1)				
34:21 F (10)	exported (1)	14:8;19:18;31:22;	generating (3)	4:10;20:4;23:16;
Energy (16)	31:2	37:24;38:1;40:19;	29:23;31:1,12	33:22;38:11;41:14
4:21;5:6;12:8,21;	extent (1)	42:22	generation (6)	happening (1)
17:11;20:8,13;28:14;	15:2	fit (3)	20:7;27:20,21;	34:22
29:24;32:12,14;	T.7	17:24;23:24;30:8	37:8,15,17	happens (1)
33:15,17,19,20;36:7	F	five (5)	generator (3)	26:6
engage (1)		14:10,16;23:24;	29:21;30:17;31:4	happy (2)
38:9	facility (1)	24:11;45:3	generators (1)	6:8;11:5
engaged (3)	29:24	fixed (1)	30:4	hard (1)
18:12;29:1,17	fact (2)	34:13	gets (1)	14:23
engaging (1)	18:21;22:8	floor (3)	24:23	head (1)
38:18	factors (3)	7:14;8:13;43:17	given (1)	5:3
enough (5)	14:11,14;20:22	folks (3)	40:20	hear (1)
18:8,10;28:10;	Facts (5)	3:11;5:10;43:3	gives (1)	42:12
31:12;38:3	5:24;13:5;16:18;	follow-up (2)	27:4	hearing (4)
enter (1)	26:2,2	23:12;40:9	Glass (36)	6:3,10;40:19;45:11
44:14	factual (5)	footing (1)	4:7,7;6:17,18;8:1,	help (1)
		35:8	2,12,14;10:22;11:3,	11:1
	7:15:9:13:13:18:		-,, - 1, -0.22, 11.3,	
entire (2)	7:15;9:13;13:18; 16:19:20:4		10.19.8.20.3.21.13.	helnful (4)
entire (2) 12:13;28:7	16:19;20:4	foreclose (2)	10;19:8;20:3;21:13;	helpful (4) 17:5 6:25:24:40:6
entire (2) 12:13;28:7 entities (1)	16:19;20:4 fails (1)	foreclose (2) 6:13;27:13	23:15;26:8;27:2,24;	17:5,6;25:24;40:6
entire (2) 12:13;28:7	16:19;20:4	foreclose (2)		

	,	T	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
historic (1)	25:1,1	issued (1)	10:9	local (1)
24:3	industries (1)	6:6	leading (1)	37:14
historical (1)	35:23	issues (3)	10:11	located (1)
40:21	industry (3)	16:4,19;17:9	lease (17)	30:1
historically (1)	35:17;36:1;37:14		21:20;26:4,7;	Logistics (1)
21:2	information (1)	J	31:20,23;32:4,7,14,	4:21
home (4)	36:18		16,19,22,22;33:22;	long (2)
23:19;24:15,16;	infringing (1)	Jason (2)	34:12,18;35:1,17	16:3;44:21
27:13	16:1			*
		4:17;25:5	leased (1)	long-term (1)
homeowner (6)	initially (1)	Jim (2)	35:4	27:11
26:15,19;30:23;	37:3	4:20;42:14	leases (6)	look (3)
31:1,5;32:17	innovative (1)	job (2)	10:17;11:15;35:20;	28:3,7;37:8
homeowners (1)	44:6	43:3;45:1	36:4,5,11	looked (1)
40:11	inside-the-fence (1)	joining (2)	leasing (5)	45:5
homes (2)	37:16	3:12;40:1	32:21;34:1,10;	looks (2)
11:24;19:24	installations (1)	July (1)	35:22;36:7	5:9;12:11
	40:15			
HONIGBERG (32)		34:19	LEEPA (3)	lost (1)
3:2,6;5:7,12;6:7,	installed (1)	jump (2)	17:11;29:19;30:12	10:23
17,21,24;7:6,23;8:3,	31:6	4:3;30:15	left (3)	LPEE (1)
6,8,15;10:22;11:7;	instance (2)		3:24;4:1,15	15:6
13:21;15:11;16:13;	26:16;27:6	K	legal (12)	
19:4;23:9;36:15;	integrated (1)		7:17;8:24;9:18;	M
38:5;39:8;40:5;41:6,	38:23	key (1)	11:19;12:4;13:12,16;	
17;42:9,16;43:11,16;	intend (1)	11:19	17:8;26:9;32:3,9;	major (1)
44:17	9:4	KEYES (20)	37:21	37:12
hope (3)	intends (2)	4:17,17,18;6:21,	legislation (2)	makes (2)
3:13;9:2;16:8	9:4;13:20	22;8:3,4;14:2,3;25:5,	28:8;39:14	22:20;28:1
hopeful (1)	intent (2)	5,8;33:4;38:6,11;	legislature (1)	making (1)
44:20	17:18;28:8	39:5,8,9;43:15;44:3	28:19	18:18
hopefully (3)	interest (1)	kilowatts (3)	less (3)	mandated (1)
5:4;9:9;44:10	38:3	20:1;25:16,17	20:8;33:23;36:11	35:19
house (2)	interested (3)	kind (6)	lien (2)	manner (2)
26:24;41:1	36:20;38:7,18	23:23;25:9;28:13,	26:5,15	
				9:16;28:10
Hsu (1)	interesting (1)	15,16;43:8	light (1)	mansion (2)
4:9	45:7	knowledge (1)	15:7	23:22;24:4
hypothetical (2)	Internet (2)	36:18	likelihood (1)	mansions (1)
9:14;21:4	8:20;36:23	knows (1)	6:2	25:17
	interrupts (2)	3:16	likely (2)	many (1)
I	10:21;41:16		38:16;40:2	35:23
	intervening (1)	\mathbf{L}	limit (3)	market (3)
identified (1)	40:2	L)	19:19,23;20:10	36:10;41:12;42:7
identified (1)		1 (1)		
22:13	intervenors (2)	lag (1)	limitation (1)	marketplace (1)
identify (1)	3:11;36:21	3:18	23:13	16:24
3:15	into (5)	laptop (1)	limitations (1)	match (1)
mminent (1)	9:15;30:7,7;31:2;	5:4	23:18	20:7
15:7	41:11	large (4)	limited (3)	material (1)
importance (1)	introduce (1)	16:23;20:24;24:3;	12:20;17:10;40:14	17:2
44:2	4:11	25:15	line (4)	materials (3)
	investigation (1)		13:17;22:10;40:23;	5:19;6:2;7:3
mportant (1)	0 ,	largely (1)		
26:8	8:23	40:12	41:3	matter (6)
impression (1)	invite (1)	larger (2)	lines (1)	9:15;10:7;11:11;
37:24	44:12	25:14,18	34:6	20:4;22:9;45:9
ncentives (1)	inviting (1)	largest (1)	list (1)	matters (2)
42:1	41:20	24:17	7:3	7:16;9:1
include (1)	involved (1)	last (5)	listed (1)	may (6)
28:13	15:15	8:11,24;19:9;	14:20	13:18;26:16;37:2;
	Iowa (1)		little (4)	
	, ,	41:18;42:10		39:19;42:14;43:9
	14:13	law (5)	6:1;10:23;11:5;	maybe (3)
7:2		12:11,23;13:11;	22:5	22:4;24:22;36:17
7:2	isolation (2)		1 - 4 /45	MEADE (E)
7:2	isolation (2) 17:15;39:12	18:7;44:11	Liz (1)	MEADS (5)
7:2 Inc's (1) 3:10	17:15;39:12	18:7;44:11		7 7
7:2 Inc's (1) 3:10 indicate (1)	17:15;39:12 issue (4)	18:7;44:11 laws (2)	5:6	4:13,13;34:4;
Inc's (1)	17:15;39:12	18:7;44:11		7 7

-	,		1	,
9:20;29:21;32:15	5:17,22;6:5,18,19	20:23	13:7;14:16;26:21;	payments (2)
meet (2)	much (6)	obviously (3)	34:12;35:22;36:11;	26:4;35:1
27:21;28:5	15:10;16:12;22:9;	7:5;20:9;34:5	37:7;38:13;42:2,7	pays (1)
megawatt (5)	35:11;39:3;44:18	occasional (1)	outbuildings (1)	32:18
25:2,8,12,21;30:1	20111,0310,11110	25:16	40:23	peak (1)
megawatts (2)	N	Off (5)	over (6)	30:23
24:1,11		3:3,5;23:16;38:11;	4:10;8:23;13:2;	people (5)
members (3)	national (2)	45:4	20:4;35:10;41:14	3:14;4:11;6:16;
16:22;17:17;26:11	16:23;37:12	offer (3)	over-generating (1)	11:24;15:14
mentioning (1)	natural (1)	10:16;36:10;44:14	25:22	percent (1)
21:22	20:10	offered (1)	oversize (1)	20:21
		` /		
merits (2)	nature (1)	6:3	23:4	performance (2)
6:6;15:19	40:24	offering (1)	oversizing (2)	35:2,3
met (2)	nearly (1)	43:10	21:18;22:1	performed (1)
5:11,12	10:12	offset (2)	own (5)	35:6
meter (4)	necessarily (1)	24:4;34:16	7:22;11:24;16:3;	performs (1)
15:22;20:14;22:9;	44:11	offsetting (2)	21:6;26:18	34:14
30:2	need (4)	33:18,20	owner (2)	perhaps (2)
metered (1)	15:7;16:19;21:2;	often (2)	14:9;27:12	3:19;36:22
41:2	42:11	31:10;44:22	owners (2)	permit (2)
metering (8)	needs (5)	once (3)	14:21;15:2	7:12;36:5
23:1,5;25:22;	20:13,20;24:5;	4:4;32:2;44:15	ownership (4)	permitted (2)
30:18,21;39:2,16,18	31:13;39:20	one (17)	39:12,18,23;40:4	36:11;37:22
might (8)	net (7)	10:3,15;11:4;13:1;	owns (1)	personal (3)
6:14;17:15;22:21;	22:24;23:5;25:22;	19:24;23:16;30:1;	29:22	26:13,18;27:3
27:5,9;34:3;36:16;	30:18;39:2,16,17	31:24;32:13;34:9;		perspectives (1)
41:1	net-metering (1)	35:14;40:8;41:4,8;	P	33:8
mind (2)	31:7	42:14,18;43:6		Petition (7)
19:18;42:15	New (14)	only (9)	pace (1)	3:10;9:7,8,12;
minutes (1)	10:3,9;11:17;	13:4,15;24:7;	37:10	11:11;18:16;40:16
45:3	12:10;15:21;16:5;	31:10;32:2,12;35:16;	panels (2)	Petitioner (2)
mixed (1)	38:17;39:24;40:21;	36:5;37:24	25:9;26:12	7:19;8:10
39:15	41:22;42:19;44:1,7,	onsite (2)	paperwork (1)	phrases (1)
model (9)	11.22, 12.19, 11.1,7,	37:17;39:21	15:18	40:11
13:1;17:21;19:23;	Next (1)	open (1)	part (2)	physical (3)
21:17;22:20;23:2;	14:2	7:14	7:8;27:7	12:1;20:22;23:18
29:5;35:12;37:9	Nixon (1)	operates (1)	participants (1)	Picking (1)
models (1)	5:6	29:22	43:20	36:19
37:20	nobody's (1)	opinion (1)	participate (3)	picture (2)
modern (1)	4:1	21:10	30:21;38:4;44:19	3:18;5:8
3:12	none (1)	opinions (1)	particular (3)	place (1)
monetary (1)	36:20	39:4	16:21;18:8;30:23	26:5
27:9	non-regulatory (1)	opportunity (3)		players (2)
		8:17;12:3;19:16	particularly (1) 38:17	
money (1)	22:15 Noonan (1)	8:17;12:3;19:16 opposite (1)	parties (7)	16:23;37:13
34:15	Noonan (1)			pleadings (1) 31:20
monopoly (1)	5:5	12:15	6:1,13;7:13,17;	
38:23	Normally (1)	opposition (1)	16:16;19:13,17	pleased (1)
month-by-month (2)	3:24	7:5	party (2)	22:16
34:18,23	note (6)	option (1)	5:22;45:6	pleasure (1)
months (1)	5:23;10:2;17:13;	23:3	past (1)	5:13
35:11	18:6;35:14;40:18	order (2)	37:12	plenty (1)
more (17)	noted (2)	6:6;7:8	path-breaking (2)	14:21
10:12;11:5;27:8;	10:2,19	orientation (1)	15:20;16:7	pm (1)
31:1;33:6,7,24;34:10,	notice (1)	20:11	patient (2)	45:11
19;35:18,18;38:15;	26:17	others (5)	3:17;15:17	point (7)
39:3;40:9;41:8,19,23	number (3)	7:24;29:16;35:17,	pay (4)	6:16;11:19;12:4,
most (7)	12:22;20:21;41:23	24;42:11	27:10;32:20;33:16;	24;13:7;18:23;20:6
14:12;17:2;20:13;	-	otherwise (3)	34:20	pointed (3)
31:11;34:2;40:10;				1000110011
31.11,34.2,40.10,	O	22:21;26:20;33:21	paying (4)	10:8;34:12;36:11
44:6	О	ours (1)	paying (4) 26:19;32:12;33:19;	10:8;34:12;36:11 pointing (1)
	O objection (3)	The state of the s		
44:6		ours (1)	26:19;32:12;33:19;	pointing (1)
44:6 mostly (1)	objection (3)	ours (1) 28:2	26:19;32:12;33:19; 34:23	pointing (1) 13:14

14:4) 17 vves (3) 44:4 g (3) 2 1) ts (3) 9;35:19)
41:22 prosition (2) 31:7;39:16 progress (1) 28:12;38:4 projected (1) 23:12;15:17 posted (1) 4:6;13:16; projected (1) 35:2 prominent (1) 4:18,21;5: prominent (1) 16:4 proper (1) 16:4 proper (1) 16:4 proper (1) 16:5 proper (1) 14:5 proper (1) 14:5 proper (1) 14:5 proper (1) 14:34:17,20 projected (1) property (3) property (10) 29:24 property (10) 29:24 propose (1) 20:26:6,13,13,17,18; propose (1) 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21:20;26:15;32:16, 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21:36:7;39:20 property (2) propose (1) 21:36:7;39:20 preferenced (1) 28:22 representation (1) 28:22 refund (1) 4:6;13:16; propresenting (2) 4:18;21:14;22 regard (1) 4:18,21;5: proper (1) 4:18,21;5: proper (1) 34:13 requesting (1) 18:23 regime (2) 18:23 requirement 21:24;22:14 property (1) 21:13,22 regulate (4) 21:24;22:14 property (1) 21:6 property (10) 24:18;25:3 prope	(7 (ves (3) 44:4 g (3) 2 1) ts (3) 19;35:19)
41:22 programs (2) 31:7;39:16 progress (1) 28:12;38:4 progress (1) quality (2) refund (1) 4:6;13:16; positions (2) 16:9 projected (1) 35:2 prominent (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 40:13 proper (1) 14:5 regardless (1) 18:23 requesting (1) 14:5 regime (2) 18:23 requesting (1) 14:34:17,20 properly (3) 18:17 regulate (4) 21:24;22:19 prowered (1) property (10) 24:18;25:3 property (10) 24:18;25:3 property (10) 24:18;25:3 propose (1) 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21:36:7;39:20 referenced (1) 28:22 representation 4:6;13:16; regard (1) 4:6;13:16; regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 40:13 regardless (1) 40:13 regulates (2) 18:23 requirement 21:24;22:14 representation 4:6;13:16; regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 40:13 regulates (2) 18:23 requirement 21:24;22:14 refund (1) 4:6;13:16; regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 40:13 regulates (2) 18:23 requirement 21:24;22:14 refund (1) 4:6;13:16; regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 40:13 regulates (2) 18:23 regulates (2) 18:23 regulates (3) 18:23 regulates (4) 21:24;22:14 refund (1) 4:6;13:16; regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 40:13 regulates (2) 18:23 regulates (3) 18:23 regulates (4) 21:24;22:14 refund (1) 4:6;13:16; regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 40:13 regulates (2) 18:23 regulates (3) 18:23 regulates (4) 21:24;22:14 refund (1) 4:18,21;5: regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 40:13 regulates (2) 18:23 regulates (3) 18:23 regulates (4) 21:24;22:14 refund (1) 4:18,21;5: regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regard (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 40:13 regardless (1) 40	(17 (ves (3) 44:4 g (3) 2 1) ts (3) (9;35:19)
position (2) 31:7;39:16 12:1;15:17 28:22 representation (1) 28:12;38:4 progress (1) quick (2) refund (1) 4:6;13:16; positions (2) 16:9 23:12;42:14 35:6 representing (1) 7:14,17 projected (1) 35:2 R 41:22 request (1) 5:8 prominent (1) 16:4 raised (1) 34:13 requesting (1) 10:16;11:14;13:1; proper (1) 14:5 regime (2) 18:23 17:12;29:3,23;31:1, 9:20 raising (1) 12:13,22 requirement 2;32:9,11,20,21;33:1, 9:12,23;13:13 regulate (4) 21:24;22:1 powered (1) 9:12,23;13:13 range (2) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1 powered (1) 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (1) 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21:36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	ves (3) 44:4 g (3) 2 1) ts (3) 19;35:19)
28:12;38:4 progress (1) quick (2) refund (1) 4:6;13:16; positions (2) 16:9 23:12;42:14 35:6 representing 7:14,17 projected (1) 35:2 R 41:22 request (1) posted (1) 35:8 prominent (1) regardless (1) 40:13 power (16) 16:4 raised (1) 34:13 requesting (1) 10:16;11:14;13:1; proper (1) 14:5 regime (2) 18:23 17:12;29:3,23;31:1, 9:20 18:17 regulate (4) 21:24;22:1 14;34:17,20 9:12,23;13:13 property (10) 24:18;25:3 7:19 21:6 powered (1) 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (1) PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	44:4 g (3) 2 1) ts (3) (19;35:19)
positions (2) 16:9 23:12;42:14 35:6 representing 4:18,21;5: request (1) posted (1) 35:2 R 41:22 request (1) 40:13 power (16) 16:4 raised (1) 34:13 requesting (1) 18:23 17:12;29:3,23;31:1, 2;32:9,11,20,21;33:1, 14;34:17,20 9:20 raising (1) 12:13,22 requirement 21:24;22:1 powered (1) 9:12,23;13:13 range (2) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1 powered (1) 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (1 PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	g (3) 22 1) ts (3) 19;35:19)
7:14,17 posted (1) 5:8 prominent (1) 16:4 10:16;11:14;13:1; 17:12;29:3,23;31:1, 2;32:9,11,20,21;33:1, 14;34:17,20 powered (1) 29:24 property (10) 29:24 PPA (13) 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 7:14,17 property (1) 35:2 R 41:22 regard (1) 4:18,21;5: request (1) 40:13 requesting (1) 14:5 regime (2) 18:23 requirement 18:17 regulate (4) 21:24;22:1 residence (1) 24:18;25:3 Rather (6) 11:22;26:15;32:16, 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 7:14,17 Request (1) 4:18,21;5: regard(s) 4:122 regardless (1) 4:18,21;5: request (1) 4:18,21;5: regardless (1) 4:18,21;13 requesting (1) 18:23 requesting (2) 18:23 requesting (3) 1	2 1) ts (3) 19;35:19)
posted (1) 35:2 R 41:22 request (1) 5:8 prominent (1) 16:4 raised (1) 34:13 requesting (1) 10:16;11:14;13:1; proper (1) 14:5 regime (2) 18:23 17:12;29:3,23;31:1, 9:20 raising (1) 12:13,22 requirement 2;32:9,11,20,21;33:1, properly (3) 18:17 regulate (4) 21:24;22:1 powered (1) 9:12,23;13:13 range (2) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1 powered (1) property (10) 24:18;25:3 37:19 21:6 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (1 PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	1) ts (3) 19;35:19)
5:8 prominent (1) regardless (1) 40:13 power (16) 16:4 raised (1) 34:13 requesting (1) 10:16;11:14;13:1; proper (1) 14:5 regime (2) 18:23 17:12;29:3,23;31:1, 9:20 raising (1) 12:13,22 requirement 2;32:9,11,20,21;33:1, properly (3) 18:17 regulate (4) 21:24;22:1 powered (1) 9:12,23;13:13 range (2) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1 powered (1) 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	ts (3) 19;35:19)
power (16) 16:4 raised (1) 34:13 requesting (1) 10:16;11:14;13:1; proper (1) 14:5 regime (2) 18:23 17:12;29:3,23;31:1, 9:20 raising (1) 12:13,22 requirement 2;32:9,11,20,21;33:1, properly (3) 18:17 regulate (4) 21:24;22:1 14;34:17,20 9:12,23;13:13 range (2) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1 powered (1) 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	ts (3) 19;35:19)
10:16;11:14;13:1; proper (1) 14:5 regime (2) 18:23 17:12;29:3,23;31:1, 9:20 raising (1) 12:13,22 requirement 2;32:9,11,20,21;33:1, properly (3) 18:17 regulate (4) 21:24;22:1 14;34:17,20 9:12,23;13:13 range (2) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	ts (3) 19;35:19)
17:12;29:3,23;31:1, 9:20 raising (1) 12:13,22 requiremen 2;32:9,11,20,21;33:1, properly (3) 18:17 regulate (4) 21:24;22:1 14;34:17,20 9:12,23;13:13 range (2) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1 powered (1) 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	19;35:19) 27)
2;32:9,11,20,21;33:1, 14;34:17,20 9:12,23;13:13 property (10) 24:18;25:3 7:19 regulate (4) 14:20;18:20;19:1; property (10) 24:18;25:3 7:19 residence (1) 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21:36:7;39:20 regulate (4) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1) 21:24;22:10:19:11:17; 11:23;12:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:	19;35:19) 27)
14;34:17,20 9:12,23;13:13 range (2) 14:20;18:20;19:1; residence (1) powered (1) 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (1:22;26:15;32:16, 21:20;26:3,7,20; 11:22;26:15;32:16, 21:36:7;39:20 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21:36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2) 27)
powered (1) property (10) 24:18;25:3 37:19 21:6 29:24 26:6,13,13,17,18; Rather (6) regulated (9) residential (10:20;26:15;32:16, 21:20;26:3,7,20; PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 21:36:7;39:20 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:10 11:23;12:10 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	27)
29:24	
PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	
PPA (13) 27:3,3,7,9,12 11:22;26:15;32:16, 9:22;10:19;11:17; 11:23;12:1 21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	
21:20;26:3,7,20; propose (1) 21;36:7;39:20 12:7;17:9,19;36:6; 18:12;19:2	
31:19,23;32:1,4,5,10; 7:12 reach (1) 44:9,10 23:14;24:7	7,19,21;
33:5;35:9;36:10 protection (1) 18:14 regulation (6) 25:15,18,1	
PPAs (1) 29:11 reached (2) 12:23;14:22;15:7, 29:1,15;30	
	7,18;35:21;
practically (1) 9:16;10:20;11:20; read (5) regulations (1) 37:10;40:1	. 4,14,18
20:24 12:16;14:23;35:3; 17:15,17;18:2; 10:1 respect (3)	
practice (1) 44:5 28:10,23 regulators (1) 5:19,22;34	:16
37:6 provided (1) reading (2) 14:20 respects (1)	
practices (7) 5:19 31:22;36:23 regulatory (8) 17:2	
17:3,22;18:11,15, provider (3) ready (1) 12:13,22;21:9; response (1)	
20;28:24;29:14 12:8,8,15 45:9 22:10;28:7;37:8,20; 5:20	
prefer (3)	
32:1;34:2;39:19 11:22;12:12;28:5; 22:7;26:6,13;27:3, relationship (4) 43:23	
preference (2) 29:6 7 30:6,7;32:13;33:17 restate (1)	
33:9,11 provision (2) real-estate (1) relative (1) 19:21	
preferred (3) 11:14;28:13 26:15 21:24 restructuring	og (2)
32:15;35:11;36:12 provisions (2) realistically (1) relevant (1) 18:7;27:18	
prefers (1) 12:23;29:19 21:6 18:16 result (2)	,
	16
	.0
preliminary (1) 10:19;11:16,17,18, 21:16 25:21 retail (5)	. 27. 21.
20:5 21;17:10;36:6;42:23; realize (1) relieves (1) 10:4;12:12	
presumably (1) 43:2,8,10 26:9 22:21 29:7;38:14	ŀ
7:8 PUC (1) realized (1) relying (1) retain (1)	
prevent (1) 29:2 31:24 43:4 42:6	
20:24 PUC's (1) really (3) remain (1) revenue (1)	
primarily (1) 17:13 15:20;23:3;30:17 35:8 21:19	
20:20 purchase (4) real-time (1) remedy (1) right (13)	
probably (2) 10:16;11:15;32:10, 34:23 27:5 4:14;7:7;8	:8;
30:8;41:15 11 reason (2) remember (1) 13:23;20:1	6;22:6;
problems (1) purchases (1) 8:11;36:3 10:10 26:3;31:17	
	42:9;43:16
proceed (1) purchasing (2) 35:23 19:13 rights (3)	
5:15 32:14;33:1 receive (2) remove (2) 6:14;9:18;	42:6
process (4) purposes (1) 31:7;32:20 26:21;27:8 rise (1)	
7:9,24;9:2;38:19 30:1 recently (1) renewable (1) 12:2	
produce (2) put (4) 14:12 29:24 RODIER (1	6)
34:21;41:11	
	:6,7;15:12,
13:1;32:13 putting (1) recognized (1) repaid (1) 13;36:20;4	
producer (2) 16:17 44:1 27:1 17,18;43:1	. 4
12:21;17:10 recognizes (1) repeat (1) role (2)	
produces (1) Q 10:6 41:18 38:8;39:3	
21:20 record (5) reply (4) roof (4)	
producing (4) Q&A (1) 3:4,5,7;40:10;45:2 7:19;14:7,19;15:1 20:11;23:1	8,24;
33:14,16;34:19,24 19:9 RECs (6) Reporter (2) 31:6	

roofs (1)	9:11;11:12;12:5	sitting (1)	3:18,19	stranded (1)
20:23	seeks (2)	4:1	space (4)	38:20
rooftop (9)	21:21;44:3	situation (3)	24:19,21,22;25:1	stream (2)
20:7;24:15,23;	seem (2)	21:16;24:4;31:3	speak (3)	21:19,21
25:13;29:15;32:17,	18:13;29:4	size (10)	3:15,17;39:7	
	-			strong (1)
24;33:14;37:11	seems (2)	19:19,23;20:10,18;	speaking (3)	33:9
rooftops (1)	17:19;32:1	23:12,18,23;24:4;	3:16;4:2;21:1	structure (1)
12:3	self-regulating (1)	25:21;35:1	special (1)	37:9
Rosati (1)	14:24	sized (3)	43:7	structured (1)
4:8	self-regulation (1)	20:19;25:2;30:22	speculation (1)	22:23
roughly (2)	15:9	sizes (1)	36:17	submitted (1)
20:16;25:9	sell (2)	25:14	spot (1)	9:9
RSA (1)	42:7,22	sizing (3)	42:8	subsidiaries (4)
18:7	selling (2)	20:22;22:17;24:14	Staff (10)	10:15;11:13;12:7,
rule (3)	36:7;43:7	slightly (2)	5:2,20;7:21;8:22;	20
6:5,9;10:11	sense (2)	13:10;20:8	16:16;17:6;18:22;	substantially (1)
rule-making (4)	7:24;37:24	slow (3)	22:5;44:16,24	17:4
18:24;28:20;39:15;	sentences (1)	10:23,24;11:5	Staff's (1)	substantive (1)
44:13	11:2		13:8	10:13
		slowly (1)		
rules (9)	separate (1)	3:17	stage (1)	suggestion (1)
17:13,17,19;18:13;	38:13	small (3)	16:8	6:4
21:14;28:14;29:2,13;	separately (1)	24:8,22;25:1	standards (1)	sun (1)
35:18	41:2	Solar (57)	15:3	34:14
Ruling (9)	separates (1)	3:10;4:10,14,19;	standpoint (1)	SunRun (2)
3:10;9:7,19;10:14;	27:5	5:18;7:20;9:4,15,19;	26:10	25:14,19
11:11;12:6,19;21:15;	serve (1)	10:15,16,17;11:13,	start (7)	sun's (1)
40:14	39:20	14,15,20,23;12:3,6,	3:24,24;4:2;19:15,	31:15
rulings (4)	serves (1)	15,19;13:17,19;	18,20;38:11	supplier (5)
13:12;21:7;22:11;	39:1	16:24;18:12;19:2;	started (1)	17:12;18:9;28:16,
44:8	service (11)	20:7,12,12,19;21:17,	31:22	17;33:22
	10:20;11:20;12:9,	20,21;23:23;24:15;	state (8)	suppliers (7)
S	12,12;14:24;15:4;	26:10,11,12,21;	9:16;10:6,17;	18:5;27:19,19;
B	28:14;29:7;39:1;	27:12;28:4;29:1,15;	12:13;29:4;37:11;	28:21;29:3,6;30:12
(5)	43:10		38:17;44:7	
same (5)		30:7,20;32:14,16,24;		supply (1)
13:9,11;30:9;	services (6)	33:3,13,19;34:14;	stated (2)	27:20
34:17,20	9:16;11:23;27:20;	35:16,21;37:11;	21:15;28:1	support (4)
save (1)	44:5,6,15	39:21;44:3	statement (1)	6:19;9:10;21:18;
34:15	Serv-Yu (1)	SolarCity (2)	7:13	24:16
schedule (2)	14:11	25:14,19	states (12)	supportive (1)
8:18,19	set (3)	Solar's (1)	10:4;14:10;36:4;	17:7
scheme (1)	16:8;29:11;45:1	43:21	38:6,13,13,15,22;	supports (2)
21:9	several (1)	sold (1)	39:11,11,23;41:23	14:4;24:3
scope (1)	38:22	41:11	statute (5)	Supreme (3)
17:18	shade (1)	Somebody (3)	12:21;17:11;27:18;	14:12,13;42:20
scoping (1)	20:23	4:2;12:11;15:21	28:22;30:12	sure (4)
40:9	shadow (1)	somehow (1)	statutes (2)	3:23;11:10;34:8;
Scott (10)	15:23	27:13	10:1,6	43:1
8:16;13:22;19:11,	shines (1)	Sometimes (2)	statutory (1)	surprised (2)
12;21:3;22:4;23:7;	34:14	39:13,15	18:19	37:3,4
				*
40:7,8;41:5	shining (1)	somewhere (1)	still (3) 21:8,9;38:23	Sustainable (1)
screen (2)	31:15	5:9		5:6
4:12,15	shortfall (1)	Sonsini (1)	Stipulation (9)	sweep (1)
Second (2)	35:7	4:8	5:23;7:16;9:9,17;	17:15
12:4;14:19	sides (1)	Sorry (5)	13:5;16:18;17:1;	system (22)
section (1)	40:22	11:3;19:21;21:12;	26:1,2	20:12,22;21:18;
15:1	sign (2)	34:4;45:6	stipulations (1)	23:19,23;24:3,11,15;
sector (1)	17:1;31:23	sort (1)	43:24	25:15;26:12;29:8;
14:23	signed (1)	22:13	stops (1)	30:24;31:6;32:24;
seek (9)	5:24	sorts (1)	26:19	33:13;34:14,19,20,
9:20;12:18;13:14;	similar (4)	37:19	storage (1)	24;35:3,5;38:24
19:1;27:6,9;37:7;	17:4,23;35:8,22	sought (2)	31:15	systems (10)
44:4,11	simply (2)	37:19;41:4	straightforward (1)	20:19;22:18;23:4;
seeking (3)	44:7,13	sound (2)	33:7	24:17;25:2,18,20;
	11.7,10	Souria (#)	33.1	21.11,23.2,10,20,

-	,			,
30:22;41:10;42:4	together (4)	up (7)	22:17;25:15;26:3,10,	worth (2)
	15:3;16:11,17;	3:3;20:1;25:20;	21;28:4,24;29:16;	18:17;40:1
T	31:21	30:1;34:21;36:19;	30:6;32:16;33:3,19;	writing (1)
	told (1)	45:1	35:16;42:10;43:21;	6:15
table (1)	3:14	usage (5)	44:3	written (2)
5:3	totally (1) 37:4	20:9,20;21:1;24:3, 5	\mathbf{W}	28:2,15
talk (1) 31:21	towards (1)	use (4)	YY	Y
talked (2)	13:14	21:6;36:4,4;39:21	wait (2)	1
19:24;30:14	transferred (1)	used (2)	13:22,23	year (1)
Talking (3)	13:2	14:10,13	wants (1)	37:12
11:3;22:8;25:11	transmission (1)	useful (2)	19:10	year-by-year (1)
talks (1)	29:8	9:2;14:18	watching (1)	35:9
40:11	transmitting (1)	user (1)	36:23	years (3)
TASC (5)	3:20	42:24	way (6)	10:12;37:17;38:22
14:3;16:21,22;	travel (1)	uses (1)	3:19;26:24;38:12;	yesterday (1)
17:16;39:5	44:21	40:11	41:2;44:19,21	5:17
TASC's (1)	Treatment (2)	using (3)	West (1)	_
13:9	5:18;22:15	8:19;25:10;29:7	5:10	Z
team (1)	tried (2)	usually (1)	whatnot (3)	7.
16:10	38:7,9	29:9	20:11;39:1;41:24	Zimmerman (1)
technical (2)	true (2)	Utilities (11)	what's (1)	42:21
8:23;40:19 technically (1)	35:10,16 try (2)	11:18;29:9,10; 36:21;38:3,7,15,16;	36:23 whereby (1)	1
41:1	14:23;34:24	39:2,17;43:4	18:24	
technology (3)	trying (1)	utility (24)	WHEREUPON (1)	10 (1)
3:13;8:19;11:8	44:13	10:19;11:16,18;	45:11	25:16
terminate (2)	turn (2)	13:3;14:10,15,17;	whole (1)	100 (1)
26:3,7	5:3;45:4	15:5,23,24;16:1;	17:18	20:21
termination (1)	twice (1)	17:10;27:17;29:22;	who's (1)	15 (1)
26:20	21:5	31:3,8;33:21;34:17;	3:16	10:12
thanks (1)	type (15)	36:6;38:24;39:24;	Wiedman (1)	15-303 (1)
43:19	17:20,22;26:14;	42:23;43:2,10	4:18	3:9
therefore (3)	27:5;28:23;29:5,13;	T 7	WIESNER (20)	1950 (1)
18:1,3;26:14	30:9;33:17;37:9,22;	V	4:22;5:1,11,14,16;	14:11
thinking (1)	38:24;41:2,3;44:12	• 4 (4)	6:11;7:10,11;8:21;	2
32:5	types (4)	variety (1)	16:14,15;19:5;22:12;	2
third-party (8) 14:9,21;15:2;	18:10,20;37:16; 39:4	33:8 various (6)	28:1,12,18;30:10,15; 36:16;37:2	2.5 (1)
21:22;39:12,18,23;	Typically (3)	10:5;12:17;27:16;	willing (1)	20:1
40:4	8:9;20:2;22:23	40:20,22,22	16:24	2:10 (1)
thought (3)	0.7,20.2,22.23	vehicle (1)	willingness (2)	45:11
14:17;18:17;31:22	U	18:14	16:11;44:19	20 (2)
thoughtful (2)		versus (1)	Wilson (1)	10:12;25:17
8:22;43:22	UCC-1 (1)	27:3	4:8	2000 (4)
thoughts (1)	26:16	vertically (1)	wires (1)	17:13;18:13;28:14;
36:24	ultimately (1)	38:23	13:2	29:2
three (4)	13:11	via (1)	without (1)	25 (1)
10:13;13:12;14:6;	under (14)	3:12	11:21	39:23
36:4	9:24;12:10,21;	video (1)	won (1)	250,000-square-foot (1)
throughout (1)	17:11,12;18:9;27:10;	4:23	39:22	25:11
31:20	30:9;32:4,5,7,14;	view (1)	wondering (1) 32:2	25-kilowatt (1)
tied (1)	42:5;45:10 understandable (1)	18:18 views (1)	wonders (1)	24:18 2X (2)
771.1	, ,	13:10	3:12	21:18;22:1
21:1 timely (1)	33.7			21.10,22.1
timely (1)	33:7 understands (1)	Vivint (49)	WOLU (7)	
timely (1) 13:13	understands (1)	Vivint (49) 3:9:4:6.9.14:5:18.	word (2) 19:9:42:10	3
timely (1) 13:13 times (1)	understands (1) 33:13	3:9;4:6,9,14;5:18,	19:9;42:10	3
timely (1) 13:13	understands (1)			
timely (1) 13:13 times (1) 30:24	understands (1) 33:13 underwriting (1)	3:9;4:6,9,14;5:18, 19;9:4,15,19;10:15;	19:9;42:10 words (1)	3 362-A1-a (1) 29:20
timely (1) 13:13 times (1) 30:24 today (3)	understands (1) 33:13 underwriting (1) 21:23	3:9;4:6,9,14;5:18, 19;9:4,15,19;10:15; 11:13,20;12:6,16,19;	19:9;42:10 words (1) 20:9	362-A1-a (1)
timely (1) 13:13 times (1) 30:24 today (3) 3:14;8:21;9:6 Todd (5) 4:7;14:5;20:18;	understands (1) 33:13 underwriting (1) 21:23 undifferentiated (3) 11:21;42:23;43:7 unit (1)	3:9;4:6,9,14;5:18, 19;9:4,15,19;10:15; 11:13,20;12:6,16,19; 13:17,19;14:4,9; 16:10;17:4,8,16,24; 18:11;19:15,18,20,	19:9;42:10 words (1) 20:9 work (1) 16:11 works (2)	362-A1-a (1) 29:20 374-F (2) 18:10;30:13
timely (1) 13:13 times (1) 30:24 today (3) 3:14;8:21;9:6 Todd (5)	understands (1) 33:13 underwriting (1) 21:23 undifferentiated (3) 11:21;42:23;43:7	3:9;4:6,9,14;5:18, 19;9:4,15,19;10:15; 11:13,20;12:6,16,19; 13:17,19;14:4,9; 16:10;17:4,8,16,24;	19:9;42:10 words (1) 20:9 work (1) 16:11	362-A1-a (1) 29:20 374-F (2)

DE 13-303 VIVINI SOLAR, INC. I ETITION FOR DECLARATOR I RULING I
27:17 374-F7 (1) 18:7
5
50-kilowatt (1) 24:18
6
6 (1) 25:10
9
90 (1) 20:21
90s (1) 38:14